

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1899 • FOUNDER • 1963

Issue No. 433 (19th Year)

August 1964

Entered as Second Class Matter
January 27, 1948, at the Post Office at Union, N. Y.
under the Act of March 3, 1879

FIVE CENTS

Subversion Thru Immigration

AFRO-ASIAN REDS WILL POUR INTO UNITED STATES UNRESTRICTED
IF McCARRAN-WALTER ACT IS "KILLED"

Prior to 1952 the Communist machine had a smoothly working technique in the field of immigration and deportation policies. Even with the cutting down of the quota of immigrants into this country, the communists managed to continue the flow of agents by clothing them as cultural or technical experts and sneaking them in under a preferred category. Scores of organizations were formed by Communists to do this job.

Effective Red techniques soon developed to hinder the deportation of communists. A whole organization of lawyers dedicated to defending communist causes (National Lawyers Guild) was created. Specialists in the art of blocking deportation of enemy aliens managed to keep the expulsions of such persons down to an insignificant trickle.

With the dual Red process of increasing the number of trained revolutionaries through immigration on the one hand, and preventing the expulsion of those already here on the other, the Communist policy of building up their forces for the final overthrow of our society continued unimpeded.

McCarran-Walter Plugged Loopholes

Disclosure due to Congressional and Senatorial investigations alarmed some of our elected representatives to the terrible danger created by our lax immigration and deportation policies. Under the leadership of the Late Senator Patrick McCarran and Congressman Francis E. Walter, the codification of immigration, passport, and naturalization laws was completely revised. The Senate and House spent almost five years in research and public hearings before the law was presented for passage. This was the longest period of time ever devoted to the drafting of a law in the history of the United States Congress.

The McCarran-Walter Immigration Act removed many of the injustices and discriminatory features of the old law as applied to bona fide, honest immigrants. At the same time, it was designed to plug up the loopholes which had permitted subversives to make a mockery out of immigration and deportation procedures. It was the first complete overhauling of these laws in more than one hundred years.

Over the past several weeks, the left-wing has built up unexpected momentum behind their attempt to tear the heart out of the McCarran-Walter Act, our basic immigration law. Suddenly it appears that they may succeed in their anti-U.S.A. purpose.

This is another Administration effort to slip through extreme legislation at a time when Congress is busily engaged. Efforts are being directed at sliding the Hart Bill through as an amendment to so-called "private" immigration legislation. Thus they avoid hearings and consideration by the Judiciary Committees of the Senate and House. The proposed legislation would permit swarms of new immigrants, poor and helpless, to become cannon-fodder in the "War



on Poverty." Left-wing leaders look to strengthen the Big City Bosses by letting the immigration law become a political playground as they have done in the past.

Although unemployment still represents one of the greatest of U. S. problems, the Johnson Administration wants to flood the country with Orientals and Africans, and subversives, to compete with U. S. labor.

All patriots should take affirmative action today on this attempt to kill the McCarran-Walter Act, now our traditional "national origins" theory in immigration legislation. If the McCarran-Walter law were to be killed, it will never be restored; the floodgates will be opened to permanently destroy this nation's guarantee of security.

IF BILLS NOW PENDING IN CONGRESS ARE ADOPTED, THEY WOULD:

Abolish the National Origins Quota System which assures continuity of the cultural pattern upon which our free institutions and our free society rest;

More than triple immigration from about 300,000 a year now to an estimated 1,000,000 a year;

Weaken security screening provisions of the present law against the entry of Communists and other subversives.

Radically change the nature of overall immigration into the United States; fewer

"Jewish organizations are on record as demanding the liberalization of the existing immigration laws."

—American (Jewish) Examiner
July 9, 1964

from Northern and Western Europe, vastly increased numbers from Asia and Africa.

NEW ANTI-McCARRAN-WALTER BILLS

Those who ignore reality and favor political expediency are now backing two kinds of bills thus to destroy America's immigration law based upon present national origins quota system.

The so-called Hart Bill (S. 747) introduced by Sen. Philip Hart, Mich., in the 88th Congress, would distribute quotas to countries based on the relation of the size of their population to world population, or on recent immigration to the United States as shown in the last census.

The so-called Kennedy-Johnson Bill (S. 1932 H. R. 7700), would place admittance of immigrants on a first-come, first-served basis. If enacted into law, this bill would:

- (1) Abolish the national origins quota system of selecting immigrants.
- (2) Base admission of immigrants of Asian ancestry not on ancestry, as it is now, but upon place of birth. Chinese born in an independent country of the Western Hemisphere would enter the U. S. on a nonquota basis without restriction on numbers.
- (3) Quotas, instead of being set by law as at present, would be determined by a politically appointed seven-man Immigration Advisory Board.

The pending bills would discriminate against the interests of virtually all present American citizens, and permanent resident aliens, and change immigration from an alien's privilege to an alien's right.

The way to judge an immigration law or regulation is to consider its effect upon the United States—its effect upon your job, your society and YOUR FREEDOM.

If a vastly increased tide of immigrants, with dominant percentages from Asia and Africa, flows into the United States . . . whose tax money will support them until they learn our language and find jobs . . . who will make room for them so that they will have a place to live . . . whose jobs will they take?

Problems already can be seen where people now are pouring into the United States from nonquota areas. Forty thousand Puerto Rican migrants coming into New York City each year depress wages, increase crime rates, complicate already complex health and education problems, and cost New York's taxpayers \$350,000,000 a year in welfare costs.

Today, the United States also faces decades of severe dislocations as a result of the movement to integrate 20,000,000 Negroes.

We have more workers now than we have jobs. The late President Kennedy stated on March 23, 1963 that we had 5,000,000 unemployed, 14,000,000 working part-time, and 2,000,000 being displaced

— Please turn to page 2 —

Subversion Thru Immigration

— Continued from page 1 —

each year by advancing technology and automation. He warned that if our economy did not grow faster, we faced chronic troubles "characterized by the economic waste and the human tragedy of unemployment, by higher welfare payments and weaker consumer markets, by recurrent problems of crime and delinquency and unstable labor relations."

We do not need more population! U. S. population has exploded upwards from 2,500,000 in 1776 to an estimated 196,000,000 by the end of 1964, and it is expected to reach 372 millions (almost double) by the year 2000 according to reliable sources.

Our liberty and our free society can be drowned in a matter of years by a human tidal wave if the restrictions of the McCarran-Walter Act of 1952 are destroyed. How many can the U. S. accept without being swamped? How many of the world's poor and huddled masses can we take in and yet survive as a FREE PEOPLE in a FREE SOCIETY? The answer is obvious with a bang.

The time to act is **NOW!** Send letters to your own Senators and Congressmen and to:— Joint Committee on Immigration and Nationality Policy, U. S. Congress, Washington, D. C.

Rep. Michael A. Feighan, Chm, Rep. Emanuel Celler, Rep. Frank Chelf, Rep. Arch A. Moore, Jr., Rep. Richard A. Poff, Sen. James O. Eastland, Sen. Olin Johnston, Sen. John L. McClellan, Sen. Everett Dirksen, and Sen. Kenneth Keating.

SAVING AMERICA IS EVERYBODY'S BUSINESS

(Excerpts of above from San Diego (Cal.) Patriotic Society Bulletin).

Latest Refugee Fraud

Courier-Times-Telegraph, June 7, 1964

Two House Committees are preparing to check into a State Department operation that has been going on with no public attention.

Washington columnists Robert Allen and Paul Scott revealed last week that the State Department is running a refugee resettlement operation for Russians who have been living for years under Communist Chinese rule. The columnists state that this is going on with "full knowledge and approval" of President Johnson—but with no authorization from Congress.

So far more than 10,000 Russians have reached Hong Kong from their settlements in northeastern Manchuria—a matter of several thousand miles of travel through Red China.

The State Department's aim apparently is to settle these Russians—all unscreened—in several countries like Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Latin America and the United States.

The anticipated cost is \$25 million. Naturally all of the costs is to be borne by Uncle Sam again as the fall-guy.

British and American authorities in Hong Kong are in strong opposition to this program, the columnists say, and this includes U. S. Consul General Edward E. Rice. But Abba Schwartz, head of the Bureau of Consular and Security Affairs, has been in Hong Kong for several weeks setting up the resettlement machinery.

Rep. Michael Feighan, Ohio Democrat and head of a House judiciary subcommittee, is planning an investigation. So is Rep. Otto Passman, Louisiana Democrat who heads the House Appropriations Committee.

Both of them would like to know who instigated the operation and why, and also why U. S. foreign aid funds are being used.

Nobody would object, of course, to helping truly oppressed people to flee the yoke of Communist China. But previous experience and the ease with which the Russians are getting out of China lend suspicion to their motives for entering the U. S. A.



Justice William Douglas

"Being a Communist certainly is not a crime."

—from concurring majority opinion of U. S. Supreme Court Justice William Douglas in June 22 decision giving passports to Communists (quoted in the June 28 Communist "Worker").

Several thousand of these Russian refugees were resettled in 1960, if you recall. The United States helped them to settle in Brazil, Colombia, Mexico and Ecuador.

In all four countries, members of the group have since been expelled or jailed on grounds of espionage, violence, and joining local communists in trying to overthrow governments.

That's what makes the whole project look peculiar. Will they now be sent here?

And Rep. Passman wants to know why foreign aid money—which Secretary of Defense McNamara has said is too short to permit vigorous prosecution of the anti-Communist efforts in Vietnam—is to be used in such a project.

Congress is told that it is to blame for not voting enough foreign aid, Mr. Passman says, "but at the same time, foreign aid money is being used for a purpose never authorized by Congress."

Scott and Allen report that U. S. and Britain intelligence officers are alarmed because they feel it is virtually certain that there are many Red agents among these "refugees."

Maybe some of our taxpaying citizens and their congressmen will be alarmed, too now that they have learned about the project.

Wake up America—Support The "Liberty Amendment"

The Liberty Amendment might be called the "Survival Amendment," because it means (1) survival, (2) security, and (3) prosperity.

This Amendment will restore the Constitution as the supreme law of the land. It will eliminate the personal income and withholding tax, thereby depriving the government of power to place an unlimited tax upon the people. It will permit us to keep what we earn—and to earn more. It is the foundation of future progress, and the guarantee of personal assurance that the United States shall not be swallowed up in World Socialism—that we shall not "surrender without a fight." Nineteen years of research have gone into this Amendment. Over 200 of the Nation's leading Constitutional lawyers and Deans of Law Schools collaborated in its final draft. The Liberty Amendment resolution has been passed in seven states. It is **must** legislation!

We find that operating within the framework of the Executive Department is a bureaucracy that now controls some 20% of the entire industrial capacity of the United States. Over 700 government owned corporations have been identified, having no authority for their existence under the Constitution, which in the past have operated in the red, and in the future will operate in the

red, at a \$39-3/4 billion cost to taxpayers (at the 1959 level). If Foreign Grants and Credits are included, the cost to U. S. taxpayers will be \$44-3/4 billion.

These 700 corporations are in direct competition with private enterprise and operate on a cost-free, tax-free, interest-free, rent-free basis with your tax dollar. Their accumulated losses amount to more than the revenue from the Federal Personal Income Taxes paid by U. S. taxpayers.

Here are the exact provisions of the Proposed 24th Amendment (now bottled up in the House Judiciary Committee by Emanuel Celler, Chairman) —to become law when identical resolutions are passed by the necessary majority of State legislatures.

Section 1: The Government of the United States shall not engage in any business, professional, commercial, financial or industrial enterprise except as specified in the Constitution.

Section 2: The constitution or laws of any State, or the laws of the United States shall not be subject to the terms of any foreign or domestic agreement which would abrogate this amendment.

Section 3: The activities of the United States Government which violate the intent and purposes of this amendment shall, within a period of three years from the date of the ratification of this amendment, be liquidated, and the properties and facilities affected shall be sold.

Section 4: Three years after the ratification of this amendment the sixteenth article of amendments to the Constitution of the United States shall stand repealed and thereafter Congress shall not levy taxes on personal income, estates, and/or gifts.

It is urged that all State Legislatures pass a resolution supporting this Liberty Amendment and send it to the U. S. Congress in Washington to indicate their views.

This resolution should be identical to similar resolutions passed by the Legislatures of other states except that the exact form (subject to the foregoing provision) will depend on rules of the State Legislatures.

J. Bracken Lee of Utah stated:

"People of this nation went a long way toward destroying the Constitution when we permitted the government to talk people into destroying our greatest safeguard against too much power in government—this was the adoption of the Sixteenth Amendment, or the personal income tax. I cannot conceive how any intelligent person can defend the Constitution of the United States and at the same time defend the income tax which nullifies and eventually destroys all semblance of these constitutional guarantees. Until those citizens who believe in the free enterprise system awaken to the dangers of this evil and demand its repeal, I see no hope to preserve the rights that we are entitled to as free men."

"THE LIBERTY AMENDMENT," which was introduced into Congress by the Hon. James B. Utt, of California, is pending as House Joint Resolution 23. It is simultaneously being introduced before the Legislatures of the Several States with the intent of obtaining identical resolutions approving it to insure its passage.

Positive action in support of this Proposed Amendment to the Constitution depends, to a large degree, on the strong united effort of individual Americans interested in preserving their freedom.

THE RESULT IS UP TO YOU!

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed)—\$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00

100 Copies — 3.00

500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn., 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

*Trade Mark Registered, U.S. Patent Office

VIETNAM COMMUNISTS WIN

U.S.A. PREJUDICE BRINGS DEFEAT

By Maj. Gen. T. A. Lane, USA, Ret.

It may be early to attempt a definitive appraisal of the Diem administration in South Vietnam. It is not too early to identify the cynical propaganda with which those who betrayed him, seek to exculpate their guilt.

The mechanics of hysteria in South Vietnam was earlier demonstrated in Cuba. Castro came to power on a wave of anti-Batista emotionalism. His mass execution of political opponents was condoned as a form of "justice." Only the erosion of time made clear to the misguided how wrong they had been. Hysteria does not tolerate reason.

There were important differences in South Vietnam. Whereas Batista was a venal politician with frailties which could convincingly be exaggerated, President Ngo Dinh Diem was a man of exemplary character, of selfless devotion to his country, of deep humanity. The campaign of vilification had to be made of whole cloth.

The scheme was designed by the Communists with consummate skill. President Diem was vulnerable in being a Catholic, a minority religion in the country. He was doubly vulnerable in depending for support upon a Catholic president of the United States. The communists shrewdly figured that the American president could not support President Diem in a conflict with another religious group, whatever the merits of the issue might be.

They had at hand the means for the job. In the war with France, the communist leader Ho-Chi-Minh had found the Buddhist robes a convenient cover for his agents. He had "bonzes" planted in South Vietnam where they could stir up religious conflict. They dominated the Buddhist Association, a new organization, which represented about 8 percent of the Vietnamese population.

After the initial rioting at Hue in May, President Diem sought to resolve the complaints. He found that the Buddhist Association leaders were determined to overthrow his government. There was no middle ground on which the complaints could be adjudicated. Diem was destroyed because the U.S. government would not support his rejection of the impossible demands of the Buddhist Association.

One measure of President Diem is that all his enemies are alive. When air force rebels in 1960 bombed his palace into such a shambles that he had to abandon it, one of the guilty pilots was captured. Obviously, a trial would require conviction and execution of the lieutenant. The president never brought the young man to trial. Diem refused to take a life for political reasons, even for rebellion.

With everyone else in Saigon knowing of the conspiracy of his officers, President Diem must have had information of it. Perhaps he refused to believe that these men whom he had appointed to their high positions could be so mad. Caesar made the same mistake. Perhaps he could not believe that the U.S. would promote such betrayal. He did not realize that a Catholic president of the U.S. could not support what was right and just.

History will show that President Diem was too humane and charitable to survive in the climate of power in 1963. He was not ruthless enough to arrest and execute the military conspirators and replace them with loyal men. He tried too long to placate the implacable Buddhist Association. He would not even deport the misguided American reporters who were his bitter enemies. He tried instead to conciliate and resolve differences without the harsh measures so necessary to the survival of his government but so foreign to his character. His mildness encouraged rebellion.



Abba Philip Schwartz (Jew)
Architect of U.S. Policy in Vietnam

President Diem has been replaced by more ruthless men who, like Castro, do not hesitate to execute their prisoners. The propaganda stories that Diem and Nhu committed suicide are transparent fraud. They were executed by a captain dispatched from headquarters; and a captain sent to bring in important prisoners does not execute them unless he has been told to do so.

Ngo Dinh Diem was a product of the highest culture and ideals of the West, striving to make his vision real for the Vietnamese people. He was the victim of sordid aspects of western civilization which he did not know.

VIETNAM BETRAYED BY STATE DEPT.

Mme. Ngo Dinh Nhu, deposed First Lady of South Vietnam vowed today to return to her strife-torn country, and labeled the death of her husband (Ngo Dinh Nhu) and brother-in-law (President Ngo Dinh Diem) a "dirty crime and nothing less than murder." Mme. Nhu . . . told about 100 newsmen that "if those reports of the treasonable death of the Nhu family are true, it will only be the beginning of the story in Vietnam."

The New York News Washington Bureau reported on Nov. 2, that President Kennedy was preparing to order speedy diplomatic recognition and resumption of aid for the new military regime in Vietnam. JFK was holding up his decision pending a final okay from Ambassador Henry Cabot Lodge.

On Nov. 24, Mme. Nhu sent a telegram to Mrs. Jacqueline Kennedy, which read in part: "... I would not wish for anyone (to endure) what the Vietnamese and myself are now enduring while we were so near our victory against Communism . . . Indeed, such a murder where even the wounds inflicted on President Kennedy were identical to those of President Ngo Dinh Diem, and of my husband, and coming only 20 days after the Vietnamese tragedy, would only prove to the world that even power or extreme graciousness with Communism still does not protect from its traitorous blows."

The late President Ngo Dinh Diem was sold out by his trusted personal military advisor, Maj. Gen. Duong Van Minh (who was apparently financed by C.I.A. funds).

In October, 1961, "a fast game of tennis" was held at a Saigon officers' club with the

"The State Department 'does itself and our national reputation a disservice by arbitrarily denying visas to members of the free world coalition . . . while it grants visas, apparently without restrictions, to those who are committed to the subversion and destruction of the free world.' —U.S. Sen. Thomas Dodd

following players: General Minh (Diem's betrayer); General Maxwell Taylor (former Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff—now Ambassador to South Vietnam, replacing H. Cabot Lodge); and Walt W. Rostow (Jew), State Department Counselor and Chairman of the Policy Planning Council.

On April 22, 1964, Mme. Nhu stated that:

On the "recommendation" of the American Embassy in Vietnam, the whole of Center Vietnam . . . has been turned over to Thich Tri Quang, that "bonze" who uses the "buddhist" label, but whose communist past is well-known, and whose brother is Ho Chi Minh's Interior Ministry supervisor for subversive operations in the South, particularly for infiltration into foreign agencies in Saigon. The delegates and administrators of Center Vietnam are now named only with the accord of Tri Quang and they act under his directives and those of his handful of hoodlums calling themselves "buddhists."

Ngo Dinh Can, younger brother of the late President Diem, was executed before a firing squad in Saigon on May 9, 1964. Can, a diabetic and heart case, was carried from his cell to a soccer field inside the Prison. Two guards helped him walk the final few feet to a pole, where he was bound, blindfolded, and shot.

On April 17, 1964, Mme. Nhu stated: "Mr. Ngo Dinh Can put himself in the care only of the Canadian Redemptorist Fathers of Hue, of whom he is (was) the benefactor. These persons, deluded by the Consulate and the Embassy of the U.S. in Vietnam, which assured them that Mr. Can would be safer in their hands, turned Mr. Can over to American care . . . Mr. Ngo Dinh Can, under the guarantee of the Canadian Redemptorist Fathers of Hue, was . . . turned over, without his knowing it, to the Americans, who abused the good faith of those priests by delivering their benefactor to his worst enemies. It is truly time that the United States understand that the crimes perpetuated by their ambassador since Nov. 1963 in Vietnam (Henry Cabot Lodge) are sufficient, for he is only a gravedigger for those who trust him."

NO PASSPORTS FOR PATRIOTS

The syndicated Allen-Scott column, June 18, 1964, headlined: "Madame Nhu U.S. tour blocked by State Dept. . . . Abba Schwartz, director of the Bureau of Consular and Security Affairs, whose 'advisory opinions' have cleared the way for the admission of a number of controversial aliens, is personally handling the stalling of Mme. Nhu's . . . visa . . . Schwartz is credited as having the full approval of Secretary Rusk."

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled on June 22, that Section 6 of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 is "unconstitutional on its face." (N.Y. News, June 23, 1964) Section 6 provided that any member of a Communist-action, Communist-front or Communist-infiltrated organization who uses a U.S. passport, or even applies for one, is guilty of a felony and punishable by a maximum \$10,000 fine and 5 years in prison. In early 1962, several months after Section 6 became law, the State Dept. revoked the passports of leading American Communists, including those of Party Chairman, Elizabeth Gurley Flynn and Herbert Aptheker, editor of "Political Affairs" a party organ. (Time magazine, July 3, 1964). The Supreme Court majority decision was orally presented by Justice Arthur Goldberg. In the June 28, 1964 Communist "Worker," Elizabeth Gurley Flynn wrote that "This is a victory . . . for us and for all Communists." Miss Flynn and Aptheker were represented before the Warren Court by Attorney John Abt (Worker, June 28, 1964). This is the same John Abt that Lee Oswald requested to represent him at his trial.

A dissenting opinion was written on the June 22 decision by Justice Tom Clark which said that "Congress had ample evidence that use of passports by Americans belonging to the world Communist movement is a threat to our national security."

—o— Please turn to page 4 —o—

Pay Taxes Once A Month Drastic But Impressive

—Paul Harvey

Nothing withheld from your paycheck this week. Nothing withheld from your paycheck next week. Or the next week after.

But the last week of this month you will receive no pay at all. Your entire check one out of each month will be sent directly to the government.

Your withholding taxes will be paid in one chunk, instead of in weekly dribbles.

Several companies across the United States have instituted this "once a month withholding" and they report up to 75 percent savings in bookkeeping costs.

The idea was initiated by President A. K. Summers of Premier Photo Service, Inc., Parkersburg, W. Va., more than two years ago.

Originally, Summers' purpose was to dramatize for his employees the tremendous cost of all these "free" government services.

A \$70 a week employee discovered that on the fourth week she not only did not draw any pay, but actually owed the government an additional \$4.75. That hurt!

"Most of our employees," says Summers, "now realize that all this government spending is coming out of their pockets."

After a few paydayless weeks, employees begin to see through the politicians' promises of "free" medicine and "free" unemployment benefits and "free" old age insurance.

Suddenly it's painfully apparent that none of this is "free."

In February of this year, because of the increasing acceptance of this plan by employers across the nation, the Internal Revenue Service advised Premier that it is not collecting the withholding tax in accordance with regulations, and the IRS threatened legal action.

Presently Premier is countersuing the IRS for "harassment."

I doubt the IRS wants to pursue this test case all the way to the Supreme Court, though Summer says he is willing.

Tax-consciousness is a mote in a voter's eye during an election year.

And this way of withholding is like dieting by tying a knot in your neck.

But it is interesting to note the attitude of Internal Revenue Commissioners once they are out of office. Almost unanimously they have criticized our tax structure and our cumbersome and inequitable tax-collection machinery.

Ex-Commissioner T. Coleman Andrews writes: "The idea of once-a-month withholding strikes me as an excellent means of bringing home, vividly, to the working people of America, what a terrible and unnecessary burden of taxation has been loaded on them."

Former President Eisenhower writes, "I am in no position to pass on the legality of the procedure, but the device you are using in your business appeals to me as worthy of emulation by every businessman."

Legality of Once-A-Month Withholding

By Laurence C. Smith

Any discussion of whether or not it is "legal" to withhold wages once-a-month or once-a-quarter must be reviewed in the frame of the total illegality of the Withholding tax law. "Two or more wrongs don't make a right." In the more specific words of CPA Bernard N. Ward, author of *MAN TO MAN*, the book with the subtitle "A Primary Attack on Communism's Stronghold—the Income Tax."

"... the income-tax law is so complex that neither the taxpayer, his counsel, the officials of the Bureau of Internal Revenue, nor the judges of the nation's courts understand it..."

Mr. Ward spends some 350 pages documenting his contention that the income tax is fraudulent, inequitable, immoral, and has in fact reduced our country to financial chaos

and made slaves of, and corrupted, our people. In the foreward, Arthur Kinnane says:

"Here for the first time lies the income tax, dehorned, debunked, and dissected, in full view of its erstwhile victims."

"Can legal interpretations of administrative codes be valid if such fundamental issues as determining the amount of income subjected to tax is insoluble?" Mr. Ward says:

"There is now no remote possibility of agreement in many cases as to finding the amount of taxable income."

"The same methods of settlement of tax disputes are being followed to-day and are accomplishing the same results—a compromise of tax liability based not upon what is correct but upon what is feasible from a practical view."

"... Government officers are not vicious men. They are products of the system. A system that rewards, in prestige and salary, the agent who finds a basis for asserting higher taxes. If we think there is gold in them thar hills, let's see if we can't dig it out."

"... the sole question was, how much the taxpayer would pay."

One step further. Read the "Declaration of Freedom from Slavery under the Withholding Tax Law." You don't have to be a constitutional lawyer—virtually an extinct animal today—to realize that, conservatively, the withholding tax law violates a minimum of seven articles of the Constitution of the United States of America which every public officer from President through Congress down swears on a Bible to uphold. That's probably what is in back of the move to outlaw the Bible (take them out of the schools and place them in motels). Even in these days of Internationalists, there is still a lingering respect and even fear of those of us who still believe in our Constitution. As a result, no one wants to upset the applecart of Constitutionality. If there is a question in your mind on this score, Read Vivien Kellems inspirational book, *TOIL, TAXES AND TROUBLES*. There she reveals the extremes taken by responsible federal officials to avoid a Supreme Court test on the constitutionality of the Withholding Tax law. Mr. Ward warns (1952):

"Man, your country, our country, is in dire peril. Not from the atom bomb, not from an invasion by a foreign country, not from war or pestilence, but the security of ourselves, our children and our children's children is in dire peril from the growth of the system of income tax within our nation."

So within this frame of gross illegality, irreverence, immorality, hypocrisy, and fraud, let us see what could possibly be "illegal" about periodic, once-a-month, withholding.

So engrossed were the designers of this law in insuring proper arrangements to govern the receipt of the loot, that not too much attention was paid to the method of collection. Regulations concerning State collections vary, but the federal procedure is solid and unwavering. Once-a-month periodic withholding does not alter this procedure one iota. As far as your taxing authorities are concerned, they don't even know you have instituted a money-saving collection plan unless you tell them.

Vietnam Communists Win

—o— Continued from page 3 —o—

The New York Times reported on June 25 that Henry Cabot Lodge had urged Washington to bar Madame Nhu from the U.S.A. Attorney Robert Morris, who had been working to get Mme. Nhu's visa, charged on July 1, that President Johnson had made the decision to keep her out of the country (Hackensack N.J. Record, July 2, 1964). The State Department said on June 23, that the U.S. Embassy in Paris had denied a visa to Mme. Ngo Dinh Nhu under a law barring entry of any person intent

on activities "prejudicial to the public interest."

Madame's Nhu's 18-year old daughter had also been denied a visa to enter the United States.

In a letter written to President Johnson on June 23, after being notified of her exclusion from the U.S.A., and after the Supreme Court ruled (June 22) on giving passports to Communists, Madame Nhu asked: "I would like to know how the United States government explains its claim to stand for truth and justice, and therefore against communism, while giving American Communists the right to passports and welcoming foreign Communists to your country, but refusing a visa to me, a loyal ally of the free world? If I am a danger, it is only to the people who must be exposed because they have betrayed not only my country and my people, but yours as well. It is rather they who endanger the welfare, safety or security of the United States and of the entire free world as well."

Mme. Nhu put it plainly when she stated: "What is happening in Vietnam is proof of how easy it is for communism to infiltrate, subvert, mislead and submerge the American ranks."

HARD-TO-FIND BOOKS

Write:

Jane's Book Service
P. O. Box 2805,
Reno, Nevada

THREE EXCELLENT BOOKS (Recommended Summer reading)

THE LAW OF LIBERTY

By L. Fry, author of *Waters Flowing Eastward*, and many other patriotic books. This book is dedicated to the memories of Rabbi who became a Christian and exposed the Talmud, and a Priest who made a lifetime work of studying and writing on Jews and occult societies. While a novel, this book portrays many facets of Jewish life not usually mentioned in Christian circles.

158 pages, paperback, Price \$1.00

BEHIND COMMUNISM (recently reprinted)

By Frank L. Britton, who has served over three years of a sentence of "one year to life" on a bribe charge. Compare the sentence of this patriot with that of known communists, rapists, murderers, political swindlers, etc.

A royalty for each book sold goes towards a defense appeal in behalf of Mr. Britton.

96 pages, paperback, Price \$1.00

TOIL, TAXES AND TROUBLE

By Vivian Kellems

Price \$2.50

Order from—

Christian Educational Association
Union, New Jersey

NEW SUBSCRIPTION RATES Effective September 1, 1964

United States—Canada—Foreign
Second Class

1 year	\$3.00
2 years	5.00
3 years	7.00
Lifetime	50.00

United States—Canada—First Class

1 year	\$5.00
2 years	8.00
3 years	10.00

Foreign—First Class
\$10.00 per year